

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 10553 of 1993
to
SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO.10557 OF 1993

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.S.SHAH

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

HARIBHAI NANABHAI MALI

Versus

URBAN LAND TRIBUNAL & EX-OFFICIO SECRETARY

Appearance:

MS K.J. BRAHMBHATT & MS ROOPAL R PATEL for
Petitioners
MR VM PANCHOLI, AGP, for Respondent No. 1
MR YN OZA for Respondent No. 3, 4, 5, 6, 7

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.S.SHAH

Date of decision: 07/07/1999

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Heard Ms K.J. Brahmbhatt and MS Roopal R Patel
for the petitioners and Mr V.M.Pancholi, learned AGP, for
the respondents.

2 The petitioners have challenged the orders passed by the competent authority and the order passed by the Tribunal under the provisions of the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act, 1976. The authorities have not taken possession of the land in question from the petitioner which fact is not in dispute in view of the written instructions dated 23.6.1999 received by the learned AGP, Mr VM Pancholi, from the Section Officer, Revenue Department.

3 The Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act, 1976 has been repealed by the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Repeal Act, 1999, as adopted by the Gujarat State Legislative Assembly as per the resolution dated 30th March 1999, passed under Article 252(2) of the Constitution. In view of the provisions of the aforesaid Repeal Act, 1999, the orders impugned in the present petition do not survive and all the proceedings under the Urban Land (Ceiling & Regulation) Act, 1976 in respect of the land in question as well as the present petition have abated.

4 These petitions are accordingly disposed of as having abated. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M S SHAH, J.)
(mohd)